



**Judicial  
Watch®**

*Because no one  
is above the law!*

May 25, 2012

Dept Of the Navy (OPNAV) PA/FOIA Policy Office

Freedom Of Information/Privacy Act Request

Date Received: 6-6-12 Statutory due date: 7-3-12

ON PA/FOIA/consult Tracking Number: 2012F061204

Acknowledgement date: 6-6-12 2012-000121

**VIA CERTIFIED MAIL & FACSIMILE:**

**(571) 372-0462; 703-604-8567; (703) 428-6522; (703) 604-6990; (703) 693-5728**

0500

FOIA Liaison Jim Hogan  
OSD/JS FOIA Requester Service Center  
U.S. Department of Defense, Jt. Chiefs  
1155 Defense Pentagon  
Washington, DC 20301-1155

FOIA Chief Jeanne Miller  
Office of the Inspector General  
U.S. Department of Defense  
4800 Mark Center Dr., Ste. 14L24  
Alexandria, VA 22350-1500

FOIA Chief Robert Dickerson  
U.S. Army FOIA/PA Office  
7701 Telegraph Rd.  
Alexandria, VA 22315-3905

FOIA Chief Robin Patterson  
U.S. Navy FOIA/PA Office  
2000 Navy Pentagon (DNS-36)  
Washington, DC 20350-2000

FOIA Chief John Espinal  
U.S. Air Force – HQ/ICIO  
1000 Air Force Pentagon  
Washington, DC 20330-1000

**Re: FOIA Request Seeking Records Regarding Sequestration**

Dear Sir or Madam:

Rowan Scarborough today reported in the Washington Times that military planners have been ordered not to develop contingency plans for “sequestration,” six hundred billion dollars (\$600B USD) of automatic spending cuts to the Pentagon budget slated to take effect in January 2013.<sup>1</sup> He further reported that secret cells have been developed, nonetheless, to plan covertly for such a contingency.

Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. § 552, Judicial Watch, Inc., (Judicial Watch) hereby requests that the Offices of the Secretary of Defense and Inspector General, as well as the Departments of Defense, Army, Navy, Air Force, and Joint Chiefs each produce the following records within twenty (20) business days:

All communications respecting sequestration, including whether to develop contingency plans at all and, if so, to do so covertly.

<sup>1</sup> Rowan Scarborough, “Pentagon planners ordered to keep potential budgets cuts from Congress,” Wash. Times, May 25, 2012, <http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2012/may/20/how-to-cut-600b-not-on-the-radar-at-pentagon/print/>.

**OSD-JS/DOD-IG**  
**Army/Navy/USAF**  
**May 25, 2012**

The time frame for this request is January 1, 2012 through the present.

In placing this request, Judicial Watch calls your attention to President Barack Obama's January 21, 2009 Memorandum concerning the Freedom of Information Act which states:

All agencies should adopt a presumption in favor of disclosure, in order to renew their commitment to the principles embodied in FOIA...The presumption of disclosure should be applied to all decisions involving FOIA.

Freedom of Information Act. Pres. Mem. of January 21, 2009, 74 Fed. Reg. 4683.

The memo further provides that "The Freedom of Information Act should be administered with a clear presumption: In the case of doubt, openness prevails."

Nevertheless, if any responsive record or portion thereof is claimed to be exempt from production under FOIA, please provide sufficient identifying information with respect to each allegedly exempt record or portion thereof to allow us to assess the propriety of the claimed exemption. *Vaughn v. Rosen*, 484 F.2d 820 (D.C. Cir. 1973), *cert. denied*, 415 U.S. 977 (1974). In addition, any reasonably segregable portion of a responsive record must be provided, after redaction of any allegedly exempt material. 5 U.S.C. § 552(b).

\* Judicial Watch also hereby requests a waiver of both search and duplication fees pursuant to 5 U.S.C. §§ 552(a)(4)(A)(ii)(II) and (a)(4)(A)(iii). Judicial Watch is entitled to a waiver of search fees under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(ii)(II) because it is a member of the news media. *Cf. National Security Archive v. Department of Defense*, 880 F.2d 1381, 1387 (D.C. Cir. 1989) (defining news media within FOIA context). Judicial Watch has also been recognized as a member of the news media in other FOIA litigation. *See, e.g., Judicial Watch, Inc. v. U.S. Department of Justice*, 133 F. Supp.2d 52 (D.D.C. 2000); and, *Judicial Watch, Inc. v. Department of Defense*, 2006 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 44003, \*1 (D.D.C. June 28, 2006). Judicial Watch regularly obtains information about the operations and activities of government through FOIA and other means, uses its editorial skills to turn this information into distinct works, and publishes and disseminates these works to the public. It intends to do likewise with the records it receives in response to this request.

\* Judicial Watch also is entitled to a complete waiver of both search fees and duplication fees pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii). Under this provision, records:

shall be furnished without any charge or at a charge reduced below the fees established under clause (ii) if disclosure of the



**OSD-JS/DOD-IG**

**Army/Navy/USAF**

**May 25, 2012**

information is in the public interest because it is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of the operations or activities of government and is not primarily in the commercial interest of the requester.

5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii).

\* In addition, if records are not produced within twenty (20) business days, Judicial Watch is entitled to a complete waiver of search and duplication fees under Section 6(b) of the OPEN Government Act of 2007, which amended FOIA at 5 U.S.C. § 552 (a)(4)(A)(viii).

Judicial Watch is a 501(c)(3), not-for-profit, educational organization, and, by definition, it has no commercial purpose. Judicial Watch exists to educate the public about the operations and activities of government, as well as to increase public understanding about the importance of ethics and the rule of law in government. The particular records requested herein are sought as part of Judicial Watch's ongoing efforts to document the operations and activities of the federal government and to educate the public about these operations and activities. Once Judicial Watch obtains the requested records, it intends to analyze them and disseminate the results of its analysis, as well as the records themselves, as a special written report. Judicial Watch will also educate the public via radio programs, Judicial Watch's website, and/or newsletter, among other outlets. It also will make the records available to other members of the media or researchers upon request. Judicial Watch has a proven ability to disseminate information obtained through FOIA to the public, as demonstrated by its long-standing and continuing public outreach efforts.

Given these circumstances, Judicial Watch is entitled to a public interest fee waiver of both search costs and duplication costs. Nonetheless, in the event our request for a waiver of search and/or duplication costs is denied, Judicial Watch is willing to pay up to \$350.00 in search and/or duplication costs. Judicial Watch requests that it be contacted before any such costs are incurred, in order to prioritize search and duplication efforts.

In an effort to facilitate record production within the statutory time limit, Judicial Watch is willing to accept documents in electronic format (e.g. e-mail, .pdfs). When necessary, Judicial Watch will also accept the "rolling production" of documents. Judicial Watch anticipates prompt receipt of the requested documents and a waiver of both search and duplication costs within twenty (20) business days. Thank you for your timely compliance with all applicable laws.

Sincerely,  
Lisette Garcia, J.D.

